1. REFERENCES

1. Alexander RE. (2000) Readability of Published Dental Educational Materials. The Journal of the American Dental Association; 131 (7): 937-942.
2. Angela Coulter, Vikki Entwistle, David Gilbert. (1999) Sharing decisions with consumers: is the information good enough? British Medical Journal; 318: 318-322.
3. Auguste, V. Guerin, C & Hazebroucq, G. (1997) 'Opinions and practices with regard toconfidentiality in French hospital pharmacies' The International Journal of Pharmacy Practice 5: 122.
4. Beauchamp and Childress (2001) Principles of Biomedical Ethics (USA: Oxford University Press).
5. Beth Stearman Ross, Linda S Potter, Kay A Armstrong. (2004) Improving Consumer Educational Literature: An Understandable Consumer Package Insert for "the CMILsl". The International Journal of Pharmacy Practice; 33(2): 198-208.
6. Bissell, P. and Anderson, C. (2003) 'supplying emergency contraception via communitypharmacies in the UK: reflections on the experiences of users and providers' SocialScience and Medicine 57: 2367-2378.
7. Brown H, Ramchandani M, Gillow JT, Tsaloumas MD. (2004) Are consumer medical information leaflets contributing to informed consent for cataract surgery? J Med Ethics; 30: 218-220.
8. Cheryl Twomey (2001) An analysis of consumer medical information leaflets supplied with medicines sold by pharmacists in the United Kingdom. Library and Information Research News; 25(80): 3-12.
9. Craig R Smith, Carol A Smith CP. (1996) Consumer Education Information: Readability of Prosthetic Publications. Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotists; 6(4): 113-118.
10. Cribb and Barber (2000) Developing Pharmacy Values: Stimulating the Debate – A Discussion Paper (London: Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain).

11. Cooper, R. J, Bissell, P; & Wingfield, J. (2010) ‘A new prescription for empirical ethics research in pharmacy: a critical review of the literature’ Journal of Medical Ethics 33: 82-86.
12. Cooper R.J., Bissell P., Wingfield J. (2011) ‘’Islands' and ‘Doctor's tool': the ethical significance of isolation and subordination in UK community pharmacy’ Health (London) 13; 3: 297-316.
13. Catherine Moore, Janet Leathem. (2004) Information provision after mild traumatic brain injury (MTBI): a survey of general practitioners and hospitals in New Zealand. Journal of the New Zealand Medical Association; 117-120.
14. Harwood A, Harrison JE. (2004) How readable are orthodontic consumer medical information leaflets? Journal of Orthodontics; 31 (3): 210-219.
15. Hassell, K. & Shann, P. (2003) ‘Overview of the main census findings’ The Pharmaceutical Journal 270: 314-315.
16. Hayley S Thompson, Erica Wahl, Anne Fatone, Karen Brown, Naa Oyo A Kwate, HeiddisValdimarsdottir. (2004) Enhancing the Readability of Materials Describing Genetic Risk for Breast Cancer. Cancer Control. 11(4): 245-253.
17. Helen Smith, Susan Gooding, Richard Brown, Antony Frew. (1998) Evaluation of readability and accuracy of information leaflets in general practice for consumers with asthma. BMJ; 317(7153): 264-265.
18. Hill J, Bird H. (2003) The development and evaluation of a drug information leaflet for consumers with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (oxford); 42(1): 66-70.
19. Iddo Gal, Ayelet Prigat. (2005)Why organizations continue to create consumer medical information leaflets with readability and usability problems: an exploratory study. Health Education Research; 20(4): 485-493.
20. James D. Harrison, Tea Logar, Phuoc Le and Marcia Glass. (2016) What Are the Ethical Issues Facing Global-Health Trainees Working Overseas? A Multi-Professional Qualitative Study Healthcare, 4, 43.
21. Kidd, P.S. & Marshall, P. (2000) getting the focus and the group: enhancing analytical rigor in focus group research. Qualitative Health Research. 10; 3: 293-308.

22. Kyle John Wilby, Ziad Ghantous Nasr (2016) Bridging theory and practice: Mixed methods approach to instruction of law and ethics within the pharmaceutical sciences. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal 24, 669–673.
23. Latif, D. A. & Berger, B. A. (1997) Moral reasoning in pharmacy students and communitypractitioners. Journal of Social and Administrative Pharmacy 14; 3: 166-179.
24. Leila E Mansoor, Ros Dowse. (2003) Effect of Pictograms on Readability of Consumer Information Materials. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy; 37:1003-1009.
25. Lorraine Silver Wallace, Elizabeth S Lennon (2004) American Academy of Family Physicians Consumer Education Materials: Can Consumers Read Them? Fam Med; 36(8): 571- 574.
26. Mary Ann Zagaria. (2004) Low Health Literacy - Raising Awareness for Optimal Health Communication. US Pharm; 29(10): 41-48.
27. Michelle M Koo, Ines Krass, ParisaAslani. (2003) Factors Influencing Consumer Use of Written Drug Information. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy; 37(2):259-267.
28. Naffs, N.J. (2001) 'Pharmaceutical care until the end: the role of pharmacists in euthanasiain The Netherlands' Pharmacy World Science 23; 4: 129-31.
29. Pooneh Salari Sharif, Mohammadreza Javadi and Fariba Asghari. (2011) Pharmacy ethics: evaluation pharmacists' ethical attitude. J Med Ethics Hist Med. 4:5.
30. Pooneh Salari, Hamidreza Namazi, Mohammad Abdollahi, Fatemeh Khansari, Shekoufeh Nikfar, Bagher Larijani, and Behin Araminia (2013) Code of ethics for the national pharmaceutical system: Codifying and compilation. J Res Med Sci. 18(5): 442–448.
31. Ramesh Adepu, Nagavi BG. (2004) Assessment of Readability, Layout and Design of Selected Consumer medical information leaflets. Indian J Pharm Educ; 38(2): 93-97.

32. Raynor DK, Knapp P. (2000) Do consumers see, read and retain the new mandatory medicines information leaflets? The Pharmaceutical journal; 264(7083): 268-270.
33. Sanmukhani and Tripathi (2011) Ethics in Clinical Research: The Indian Perspective Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 73 (2): 125-130.
34. Sheila Payne. (2002) The Readability and Content of Written Information Provided to Consumers and Families by Palliative Care Units: A national survey. Research Findings Register record ID 788.
35. Siriwan Ghuangpeng (2011) Factors Influencing Career Decision-Making: A Comparative Study of Thai and Australian Tourism and Hospitality Students. Thesis: Victoria University 1-244.
36. Verdu F, Castello A. (2004) Non-compliance: a side effect of drug information leaflets. J Med Ethics; 30: 608-609.
37. Webb, C. & Kevern, J. (2001) Focus groups as a research method: A critique of some aspects of their use in nursing research. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 33; 6: 798-805.
38. Wingfield, Bissell and Anderson (2004) 'The scope of pharmacy ethics – an evaluation of the international research literature, 1990 – 2002' Social Science and Medicine 58: 2383 –2396.
39. Wilson Feleta L, Williams Barbara N. (2003) Assessing the Readability of Skin Care and Pressure Ulcer Consumer Education Materials. The Journal of Wound, Ostomy& Continence Nursing; 30 (4): 224-230.
40. Zuzana Deans (2010) Ethics in pharmacy practice. Centre for Ethics in Medicine. Thesis: University of Bristol. Medicines and people. 1-34.